Copper- and Silver-Catalyzed Diastereo- and Enantioselective Conjugate Addition Reaction of 1‑Pyrroline Esters to Nitroalkenes: Diastereoselectivity Switch by Chiral Metal Complexes

Akihiro Koizumi, Midori Kimura, Yuri Arai, Yuichiro Tokoro, and Shin-ichi Fukuzawa*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Institute of Science and Engineering, Chuo University, Tokyo 112-8551, [Ja](#page-7-0)pan

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: syn-Diastereoselective conjugate addition of 1-pyrroline esters to nitroalkenes in good yields with an excellent enantioselectivity by using CuOAc/Me-FcPHOX catalyst in the presence of pyridine. In contrast, AgOAc/tBu-ThioClickFerrophos catalyzed the anti diastereoselective conjugate addition with a high enantioselectivity without additional base. Thus, the preparation of chiral 1-pyrroline derivatives bearing diverse stereochemistry could be achieved. The diastereoselective reduction of the imine group in the conjugate adduct could afford the 2,5-cis-proline ester derivative.

ENTRODUCTION

Glycine imino esters are a good azomethine ylides source for the synthesis of α -amino acids derivatives in 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition and conjugate addition with electron-deficient $alkenes.¹$ Chiral copper and silver phosphine complexes have been proposed as representative catalysts for the reactions, and a good [c](#page-7-0)hiral catalyst often gives highly enantio pure α -amino acids.² The asymmetric reactions involving azomethine ylides can lead to a discovery of pharmaceutical and biologically active com[po](#page-7-0)unds.³ We have developed ThioClickFerrophos (TCF) as a chiral phosphine ligand for the silver-catalyzed asymmetric reaction wit[h](#page-7-0) azomethine ylides and shown its silver complex is efficient for the reaction to give α -amino acids in highly diastereo- and enantioselectivity.⁴

Recently, cyclic imino esters (cyclic azomethine ylides) have attracted attention, δ as they [c](#page-7-0)an construct bicyclic amino acids in an one-step reaction with activated alkenes. Waldman and co-workers propos[ed](#page-7-0) the 2,3-dihydropyridine esters as a cyclic azomethine ylide, and they undergo the asymmetric 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition with nitroalkenes catalyzed by chiral copper complex to afford a chiral tropane scaffold, 8-azabicyclo[3.2.1] octane. 6 We^{\prime} and the Wang research group⁸ independently have shown that 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrrole esters (1-pyrroline ester) [a](#page-7-0)re a [g](#page-7-0)ood precursor for the cyclic [azo](#page-7-0)methine ylide source for the asymmetric 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition with Nsubstituted maleimide which affords a 7-azanorbornane (7 azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane) scaffold in good enantioselectivities. The Wang group more recently reports the asymmetric conjugate addition with alkylidene malonates.⁹ Inspired by our and other research groups' efforts, we have studied the silver- and copper-catalyzed reaction of 1-pyrroline ester 1a with (E) - β -nitrostyrene 2a and found that the exclusive conjugate addition proceeded to afford γ-nitropyrroline esters as a diastereomeric product (syn-3a or anti-4a) (Scheme 1). It is interesting that the diastereoselectivity (syn/anti-selectivity) is dependent on the chiral silver and copper phosphine complex catalyst, so stereodivergent synthesis of pyrroline derivatives should be allowed.¹⁰ Since the γ -amino proline scaffold is found in the natural occurring compounds such as kaitocephalin

Scheme 1. Diastereo- and Enantioselective Conjugate Addition of 2-Pyrroline Ester to Nitroalkene

Received: August 31, 2015 Published: October 1, 2015

(Figure 1), glutamate receptor competitive antagonist, this methodology could provide potential biologically active

Figure 1. Kaitocephalin.

compounds which may lead to drug discovery.¹¹ In this paper, we would like report the stereodivergency of the silverand copper-catalyzed conjugate addition of 1-pyrroli[ne](#page-7-0) esters to nitroalkenes.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since such easily accessible chiral phosphine ligands as $FcPHOX₁¹² FeSulphos₁¹³$ and ThioClickFerrophos⁴ often exhibit good ligand ability, bringing good product yield and stereosele[cti](#page-7-0)vity (Figure [2\)](#page-7-0), in the 1,3-dipolar cycload[di](#page-7-0)tion of

azomethine ylides, we first examined the reaction of 2-phenyl-1 pyrroline ester 1a with (E) -β-nitrostyrene 2a using copper and silver salts with the above ligand variations. The model reaction was usually carried out by using 5 mol % of metal salt and ligand in diethyl ether in the presence of 20 mol % of triethylamine at room temperature for 10 h. The results are summarized in Table 1.

The combination of CuPF₆ with (S, Sp) -L1 gave a mixture of syn and *anti* isomer (3a and 4a) of conjugate adduct in 39% in the ratio of $syn/anti = 85/15$ (entry 1); diastereomeric ratio was determined by ¹H NMR integration of the methyl ester signal. The syn/anti stereochemistry was defined by the relative configuration of the imino and the phenyl groups in the analogy to the reaction of acyclic glycine imino ester and confirmed by X-ray crystallography (described later). The enantiomeric excess (ee %) of the syn-3a was determined to be 98% ee by chiral HPLC analysis. L2 and L3 also gave the syn isomer preferentially in higher yields (47−49%) than that with L1 but

Table 1. Reaction of 1a with 2a Using Various Copper and Silver Salts with Chiral Ligands^{a}

 a 1a (0.25 mmol), 2a (0.3 mmol), Et₃N (20 mol %), metal salt (5 mol %), ligand (5.5 mol %); Et₂O (2.5 mL), rt, 10 h. b Combined isolated yield of 3a and 4a. Ω Determined by Ω ¹H NMR. Ω Determined by chiral HPLC. ^eReaction was carried out at −20 °C for 15 h.

in lower ee % (entries 2−3). The more sterically hindered L4 gave very low yields with lower ee % (entry 4). On the other hand, the combination of L5 gave the *anti*-4a preferentially in low yields with low enantioselectivity. We chose L1 as the most suitable ligand with respect to enantioselectivity of syn isomer and then optimized a copper salt. The combination of L1 with $Cu(MeCN)_4BF_4$, $Cu(MeCN)_4ClO_4$, and CuOAc was examined, and we found that the combination of CuOAc/L1 improved the yield, syn diastereoselectivity, and enantioselectivity of the syn isomer; syn-3a was obtained more favorably $(syn/anti = 89/11)$ in 61% total yield with 99% ee (entry 8). The conjugate adduct was the sole product, with no cycloadduct being formed by using every copper catalyst examined here.

It is interesting that when AgOAc was used instead of CuOAc with L1, diastereoselectivity was inverse, anti-4a being produced as a major product ($syn/anti = 10/90$) with moderate ee % (entry 9). No cycloadduct was again observed in the silver-catalyzed reaction similar to the copper-catalyzed reaction. The combination with L2−L4 also afforded the anti isomer selectively but with low ee % (entries 10−12), although the yield of product was high. The use of L6 afforded good yield of product, exclusive anti diastereoselectivity, and high enantioselectivity; the anti-4a was obtained in 96% de in 80% ee. The reaction at −20 °C improved *anti* diastereoselectivity and enantioselectivity up to 98% de and 94% ee, respectively (entry 14). Here, we can conclude that the CuOAc/L1 complex gave the syn-3a selectively and that the AgOAc/L6 gave anti-4a selectively. The divergence of the conjugate adduct of the 1-pyrroline ester with nitroalkene can be achieved by choosing a metal complex.

We next optimized the reaction conditions in copper and silver complex catalyzed reactions by varying solvents and bases, and the results are summarized in Table 2 (for copper) and Table 3 (for silver). In entries 1−7 of Table 2, the reaction was conducted in various solvents (T[HF; tet](#page-2-0)rahydrofuran, DO[X; 1,4-d](#page-2-0)ioxane, DME; dimethoxye[nthane,](#page-2-0) toluene, dichloromethane) in the presence or absence of triethylamine, and diethyl ether was recognized as the most suitable solvent. The entries 8−14 show the results of the reaction in diethyl

Table 2. Optimization of Solvents and Bases in Copper/L1- Catalyzed Reaction^a

entry	solvent	base	yield $(\%)^b$	syn/anti ^c	ee $(\%) (3a)^d$
$\mathbf{1}$	Et ₂ O		76	89/11	>99
2	Et ₂ O	Et ₃ N	61	89/11	>99
3	THF	Et ₃ N	59	89/11	>99
4	DOX	Et ₃ N	58	75/25	>99
5	DME	Et ₃ N	53	82/18	>99
6	toluene	Et ₃ N	57	90/10	>99
7	CH_2Cl_2	Et_3N	51	84/16	97
8	Et ₂ O	pyridine	78	92/8	99
9	Et ₂ O	DABCO	74	89/11	>99
10	Et ₂ O	DIPEA	63	89/11	>99
11	Et ₂ O	DBU	54	76/24	>99
12	Et ₂ O	NaOAc	70	91/9	>99
13	Et ₂ O	K_2CO_3	63	89/11	>99
14	Et ₂ O	Cs ₂ $CO3$	57	90/10	>99

a 1a (0.25 mmol), 2a (0.3 mmol), base (20 mol %), CuOAc (5 mol %), L1 (5.5 mol %); solvent (2.5 mL) , rt, 10 h. b Combined isolated yield of syn-3a and *anti*-4a. ^CDetermined by ¹H NMR. ^dDetermined by \overline{A} chiral HPLC.

Table 3. Optimization of Solvents and Bases in Silver-/L6- Catalyzed Reaction^a

entry	solvent	base	yield $(\%)^b$	syn/anti ^c	ee $(\%) (4a)^d$
1	Et, O		92	1/99	94
2	Et, O	Et ₃ N	99	1/99	95
3	Et ₂ O	DIPEA	92	2/98	95
$\overline{4}$	THF	Et ₃ N	96	3/97	90
5	DME	Et ₃ N	99	2/98	89
6	toluene	Et ₃ N	78	3/97	79
7	CH_2Cl_2	Et ₃ N	80	7/93	81

 a_{1a} (0.25 mmol), 2a (0.3 mmol), base (20 mol %), AgOAc (5 mol %), L6 (5.5 mol %); solvent (2.5 mL), -20 °C, 15 h. b Combined isolated y ield of *syn-*3a and *anti-4a*. "Determined by ¹H NMR. d Determined by y chiral HPLC.

ether using various organic and inorganic bases; the addition of pyridine gave the best yield, syn/anti ratio, and ee % of the anti isomer.

In entries 1−7 of Table 3, the reaction was performed in various solvents in the presence or absence of triethylamine at −20 °C for 15 h, and diethyl ether was recognized as the most suitable solvent with respect to diastereo- and enantioselectivity (anti/syn = 1/99, 92% ee). Diisopropylethyl amine (DIPEA) slightly improved the ee %. It should be worth noting that the reaction can be carried out without additional base and that high anti-selectivity and enantioselectivity of anti-4a were observed. So we determined that base was not always necessary for the optimal reaction conditions and that base could be added occasionally if yield and selectivity should be improved.

We then examined the scope of substrate in both CuOAc/ L1- and AgOAc/L6-catalyzed reactions under the corresponding optimal reaction conditions: We usually carried out the reaction in diethyl ether at room temperature for 10 h in the presence of pyridine (although anti-4a was obtained in a good yield with high ee % without additional base). Table 4, entries 1−5, shows the results of the copper-catalyzed reaction with some aryl-substituted pyrroline esters 1. The reaction proceeded smoothly to afford the syn conjugate adduct 3a− 3d in good yields with good diastereo- and enantioselectivities. The electron-donating and -withdrawing substituents had Table 4. Scope of Substrate in the syn Selective Copper-Catalyzed Reaction^a

 a_1 (0.25 mmol), 2a (0.3 mmol), pyridiene (20 mol %), CuOAc (5 mol %), L1 (5.5 mol %); Et₂O (2.5 mL), rt, 10 h. b Combined isolated yield of syn-3a and *anti-4a*. "Determined by ¹H NMR. "Determined by by chiral HPLC.

limited impact on the reaction, syn isomers being produced as a major isomer in excellent enantioselectivities. In entries 6− 14, the results of the reaction with various aryl-substituted nitroalkenes are shown. Reactions of pyrroline ester 1a with various (E) -2-aryl-1-nitroalkenes, with o -, m - or p -substituents on the phenyl ring, proceeded with syn-selectivities of 72/28− 89/11 and excellent enantioselectivites (94−99% ee) regardless of the electronic property and positions of substituents. The ee % of p-nitro-substituted 3l was determined because an appropriate chiral column could not be found (entry 14). The heteroaryl substituent such as 2-thienyl can be used as a substrate giving good yield of the syn isomer with high ee %. Here, we were successful in obtaining a single crystal suitable for X-ray analysis in the ferrocenyl-substituted substrate syn-3n (see the Supporting Information (SI)) and clearly determined the relative and absolute configurations as $(2S, 1'S)$ [if the substitue[nt was phenyl, the abso](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02024/suppl_file/jo5b02024_si_001.pdf)lute configuration should be $(2S, 1'R)$].

In Table 5 entries 1−5 and 6−14, the scope of substrates in the AgOAc/L6-catalyzed reaction with respect to 1-pyrroline ester[s and nit](#page-3-0)roalkenes is summarized, respectively. It is worth noting that the reaction can be carried out −20 °C in diethyl ether without additional base and that high anti-selectivity and enantioselectivity of anti-4a were observed. The reaction could be carried out without additional base, but triethylamine was added in the reaction with p -bromo-substituted 1-pyrroline ester in order to improve the yield and selectivity. As shown in the table, a wide range of 1-pyrroline esters and nitroalkenes can be employed as substrates, such as either electronwithdrawing or -donating substituents, even heteroaryl

Table 5. Scope of Substrate in the anti Selective Silver-Catalyzed Reaction^a

a 1 (0.25 mmol), 2a (0.3 mmol), AgOAc (5 mol %), L6 (5.5 mol %); Et₂O (2.5 mL), − 20 °C, 15 h. ^bCombined isolated yield of syn-3a and a_n (no summed by $\frac{1}{2}$ H NMR. determined by chiral HPLC.
anti-4a. Determined by $\frac{1}{2}$ H NMR. determined by chiral HPLC. THF was used as a solvent. *F* Triethylamine (20 mol %) was added.

substrate, as well as the copper-catalyzed reaction; the reaction proceeded at −20 °C to produce the anti conjugate adduct 4a− **4n** predominantly with high enantioselectivities. The p -NO₂ substituent may be an exception giving a low yield of anti conjugate adduct but with high ee %. We were able to clearly determine the relative and absolute configurations of the ferrocenyl-substituted conjugate adduct 4n as (2S, 1′R) [if the substituent was phenyl, then the absolute configuration should be $(2S, 1'S)$. It must be noted that no cycloadducts were observed for every substrate examined here in either silver or copper-catalyzed reactions.

Reductions of the imine group of 3a and 4a were investigated. Treatment of $3a$ and $4a$ with NaBH₃CN in toluene in the presence of acetic acid at room temperature afforded the corresponding proline ester quantitatively; the cis isomer $(2S,5S,1'R)$ -5a and $(2S,5S,1'S)$ -6a, were produced, respectively, as a major product in 83% yield $(cis/trans = 90/$ 10) was the nitro group (Scheme 2).¹⁴ The relative configuration of 5a and 6a could be assigned by NOESY spectroscopic analysis (see SI).

To shed light on the reaction mechanism, the Gibbs free energy (298 K, 1 atm) of [tw](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02024/suppl_file/jo5b02024_si_001.pdf)o possible intermediates for the Cu-catalyzed reaction (Figure 3a, A and B) was calculated by DFT at B97-D3(bj)/def2-TZVPP//B97-D3(bj)/def2-SVP level.¹⁵ The optimized structures showed distorted tetrahedral geometries about the copper center. The structure of A was 3.8 kcal/[m](#page-8-0)ol more stable than that of B. The result was consistent with the calculation by Hou and co-workers for the copper complex with the corresponding acyclic imino ester.¹⁰ⁱ According to Hou's conclusion, the negatively charged C3

Scheme 2. Reduction of the Imine Group in 3a and 4a by $NaBH₃CN$

Figure 3. (a) Possible intermediate Cu complexes optimized by DFT calculation at B97-D3(bj)/def2-SVP level. All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. (b) Transition structure model of CuOAc/L1 catalyzed conjugate addition. (c) Transition structure model of AgOAc/L6-catalyzed conjugate addition.

atom should direct toward the copper in the transition structure. Thus, nitroalkene should approach the si face of the pyrroline ester from its re face, avoiding the sterically hindered oxazolyl moiety in L1. These requirements and synselectivity suggest the transition structure for the CuOAc/L1 catalyzed conjugate addition of 1-pyrroline esters, as shown in Figure 3b depicted by Newman projection. On the other hand, our attempt to optimize the intermediate in the silver-catalyzed reaction was unsuccessful. The anti-selective conjugate addition catalyzed by AgOAc/L6 may proceed through the transition structure in Figure 3c.

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

We have succeeded in a stereodivergent asymmetric conjugate addition of 1-pyrroline esters to nitroalkenes, where syn and anti adducts can be prepared selectively by using copper and silver catalysts, respectively. CuOAc/L1 catalyzed a synselective conjugate addition with an excellent enantioselectivity in the presence of pyridine, while AgOAc/L6 afforded the anti adduct exclusively with a high enantioselectivity without additional base. The conversion of the β -nitropyrrlines to β aminopyrrolidines is now in progress, and new aspects will be reported in due course.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for the Copper-Catalyzed Asymmetric Conjugate Addition of 1 to 2. All reactions were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere with oven-dried glassware. In a 20 mL Schlenk tube containing a stirring bar, CuOAc (1.5 mg, 0.013 mmol) and L1 $(6.2 \text{ mg}, 0.014 \text{ mmol})$ were dissolved in dry Et₂O (2.5 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Then, 1a (50.8 mg, 0.25 mmol) and 2a (44.7 mg, 0.30 mmol) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 10 h and then filtered through Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was isolated by PTLC $(n$ -hexane/EtOAc = 2:1).

(S)-Methyl 2-((R)-2-Nitro-1-phenylethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate 3a. White solid; 68.7 mg, 78% yield; mp 91–92 °C; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 3030, 2955, 1730, 1604, 1575, 1543, 1496, 1449, 1432, 1378, 1241, 1167, 1091; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.18 (m, 8H), 5.23 (dd, J = 4.2, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (dd, $J = 10.3$, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 2.95−3.12 (m, 2H), 2.48−2.55 (m, 1H), 2.14−2.06 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.6, 172.5, 136.3, 133.5, 131.5, 129.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 85.7, 77.5, 52.5, 52.4, 35.5, 31.4; HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 column (hexane/ 2-propanol = 98/2, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); $t_R = 28.4$ (major), $t_R = 33.5$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^2$ ⁷ = -49.0 (c = 0.11, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}N_2O_4$ [M + H]⁺ 353.1501, found: 353.1504.

(S)-Methyl 5-(4-Methylphenyl)-2-((R)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate **3b**. Yellow oil; 76.4 mg, 83% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3032, 2952, 2918, 1737, 1614, 1557, 1548, 1495, 1455, 1431, 1378, 1247, 1165, 1044; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73–7.70 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.25–7.22 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 2H), 7.19−7.16 (m, 5H), 5.22 (dd, J = 4.1, 13.5, 1H), 5.07 (dd, J = 10.5, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (dd, $J = 4.1$, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.05−2.97 (m, 2H), 2.54−2.47 (m, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.13−2.05 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.5, 174.2, 141.8, 135.3, 130.8, 129.8, 129.3, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 85.7, 77.5, 52.4, 52.5, 35.4, 31.5, 21.7; HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 column (hexane/2-propanol = 98/2, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); $t_R = 31.8$ (major), $t_R = 37.7$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{28.2}$ $= -34.2$ (c = 0.16, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}NaN_2O_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 389.1477, found: 389.1473.

(S)-Methyl 5-(4-Methoxylphenyl)-2-((R)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)- 3,4-dihydro 2H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate 3c. Yellow oil; 66.0 mg, 69% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 2952, 2838, 1736, 1605, 1551, 1514, 1459, 1378, 1253, 1173, 1112, 1031; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, $J = 9.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.38–7.25 (m, 5H), 6.92 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 5.23 $(dd, J = 4.1, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (dd, J = 10.3, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (dd, J)$ = 4.1, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.10−2.90 (m, 1H), 2.54−2.45 (m, 1H), 2.13−2.02 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.2, 172.7, 162.2, 136.4, 130.0, 129.1, 128.7, 128.2, 126.3, 113.9, 85.6, 77.6, 55.5, 52.5, 52.4, 35.4, 31.4. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 $(hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 38.9 (major),$ $t_R = 41.8$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{28} = -64.1$ ($c = 0.10$, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}N_2NaO_5$ [M + Na]⁺ 405.1426, found: 405.1440.

(S)-Methyl 5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-((R)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 3d. Yellow oil; 70.6 mg, 73% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 2951, 2858, 1735, 1617, 1598, 1552, 1492, 1378, 1227, 1173, 1091, 1011; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.26 (m, 7H), 5.25 (dd, $J = 4.3$, 13.4 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (dd, J = 10.2, 13.4 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (dd, J = 4.3, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.10–2.89 (m, 2H), 2.57–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.15–2.04 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.0, 172.3, 137.7, 136.2, 132.0, 129.6, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.3, 85.9, 77.4, 52.6, 52.3, 35.5, 31.4; HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 column (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/ min, 250 nm); $t_R = 21.3$ (minor), $t_R = 22.3$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^2$ ³⁸ = -57.90 (c = 0.27, CHCl₃). HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}CIN_{2}O_{4}$ [M + H]⁺ 387.1112, found: 387.1107.

(S)-Methyl 5-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-((R)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate 3e. Yellow oil; 80.9 mg, 75% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 2952, 2859, 1735, 1616, 1591, 1552, 1488, 1457, 1378, 1222, 1070, 1008; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.23−7.14 (m, 5H), 5.23 $(dd, J = 4.1, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (dd, J = 10.2, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (dd, J)$ = 4.1, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.08−2.90 (m, 1H), 2.57−2.48 (m, 1H), 2.16−2.07 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.9, 172.1, 136.0, 132.2, 131.8, 129.7, 129.0, 128.6, 128.2, 126.1, 85.7, 77.3, 52.5, 52.2, 35.3, 31.2; HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 column (hexane/2 propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 23.3 (minor), t_R = 24.7 min (major); $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} = -34.2$ ($c = 0.16$, CHCl₃). HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}BrN_2NaO_4 [M + Na]^+$ 453.0426, found: 453.0426.

(S)-Methyl 2-((R)-1-(2-Methylphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate 3f. Yellow oil; 76.9 mg, 84% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3070, 3025, 2953, 2918, 1732, 1617, 1552, 1493, 1448, 1378, 1242, 1164, 1121, 1073; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.89 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.41 (m, 3H), 7.22−7.13 (m, 3H), 5.03 (dd, J = 4.1 Hz, J = 13.1 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (dd, $J = 10.1$, 13.1 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (dd, $J = 4.1$, 10.1 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (s, 3H), 3.10−2.93 (m, 2H), 2.59−2.52 (m, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.13− 2.06 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.9, 172.9, 137.8, 135.4, 133.5, 131.5, 130.9, 128.6, 128.3, 127.8, 127.1, 126.6, 86.1, 77.9, 52.5, 46.2, 35.2, 31.2, 20.1. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2 propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 14.8 (major), t_R = 17.4 min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{29} = -4.9$ ($c = 0.13$, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}N_2NaO_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 389.1477, found: 389.1489.

(S)-Methyl 2-((R)-1-(3-Methylphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate 3g. Yellow oil; 72.4 mg, 79% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) *v* 3034, 2952, 2920, 1733, 1655, 1609, 1551, 1492, 1449, 1433, 1378, 1218, 1162, 1077, 1011; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.87 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.49–7.39 (m, 3H), 7.22–7.06 (m, 4H), 7.22−7.13 (m, 3H), 5.27 (dd, J = 4.1 Hz, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dd, $J = 10.3, 13.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, $J = 4.1, 10.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.13−2.90 (m, 2H), 2.55−2.46 (m, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.13−2.03 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.0, 172.4, 138.2, 136.0, 133.5, 131.5, 130.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 125.8, 85.7, 77.4, 52.5, 52.3, 35.5, 31.1, 21.5. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); $t_R = 16.8$ (major), $t_R = 21.2$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{28} = -66.2$ (c = 0.16, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{23}N_{2}O_{4}$ [M + H]⁺ 367.1658, found: 367.1643.

(S)-Methyl 2-((R)-1-(4-Methylphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 3h. Yellow oil; 77.9 mg, 85% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3030, 2952, 2922, 1735, 1617, 1551, 1514, 1498, 1449, 1378, 1234, 1164, 1079; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.51−7.40 (m, 3H), 7.25−7.10(m, 4H), 5.24 $(dd, J = 3.8, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (dd, J = 10.6, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (dd, J)$ = 3.8, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.12−3.95 (m, 1H), 2.56−2.47 (m, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.14−2.04 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.0, 172.5, 138.0, 133.6, 133.1, 131.5, 129.5, 129.0, 128.7, 128.3, 85.9, 77.7, 52.6, 52.2, 35.6, 31.3, 21.2. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 $(hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 19.4 (major),$ t_R = 24.6 min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{28}$ = +64.7 (c = 0.06, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}N_2NaO_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 389.1477, found: 389.1479.

(S)-Methyl 2-((R)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4-dihydro2H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate 3i. Yellow oil; 82.2 mg, 86% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) *v* 2922, 2834, 1737, 1619, 1549, 1511, 1446, 1379, 1345, 1249, 1179, 1118; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.50−7.40 (m, 3H), 7.29 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (d, $J = 9.1$ Hz, 2H), 5.17 (dd, $J = 4.4$, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (dd, $J = 10.5$, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, J = 4.1, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.14−2.95 (m, 2H), 2.56−2.47 (m, 1H), 2.14−2.03 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.0, 172.6, 159.4, 133.5, 131.5, 130.3, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 114.1, 85.9, 77.7, 55.3, 52.5, 51.8, 35.5, 31.3. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/

min, 250 nm); $t_R = 32.9$ (major), $t_R = 41.0$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^2$ ³⁸ +30.09 ($c = 0.10$, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}NaN_2O_5$ [M + Na]⁺ 405.1426, found: 405.1426.

(S)-Methyl 2-((R)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 3j. Yellow oil; 70.6 mg, 73% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3031, 2952, 1740, 1618, 1576, 1547, 1493, 1428, 1376, 1236, 1162, 1114, 1092, 1044, 1017; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.89 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7z.28 (m, 7H), 7.38–7.27 $(dd, J = 6.7$ Hz, 4H), 5.12 (dd, $J = 4.1$, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (dd, $J =$ 10.5, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (dd, $J = 4.1$, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 3.13−3.03 (m, 2H), 2.57−2.47 (m, 1H), 2.12−2.02 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.4, 172.4, 135.0, 134.2, 133.4, 131.7, 130.6, 128.9, 128.7, 128.3, 85.5, 77.3, 52.6, 51.6, 35.5, 31.6. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol =98/2, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); $t_R = 28.2$ (major), $t_R = 30.5$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{28} = -23.6$ ($c =$ 0.14, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}ClNaN_2O_4$ [M + Na]+ 409.0931, found: 409.0939.

(S)-Methyl 2-((R)-1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 3k. Yellow oil; 81.9 mg, 76% yield; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 3030, 2952, 1734, 1656, 1615, 1551, 1490, 1448, 1433, 1378, 1240, 1164, 1112, 1074, 1011; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.90−7.87 (m, 2H), 7.53−7.41 (m, 5H), 7.33−7.29 (m, 2H), 5.12 (dd, J = 4.1, 13.4 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (dd, J = 10.5, 13.4 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (dd, J = 4.1, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 3.14−3.03 (m, 2H), 2.57−2.47 (m, 1H), 2.13−2.02 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 176.4, 172.4, 135.6, 133.4, 131.9, 131.7, 131.0, 128.7, 128.4, 122.5, 85.5, 77.3, 52.7, 51.8, 35.6, 31.7. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 $(hexane/2-propanol = 98/2, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 30.1 (major),$ $t_{\rm R}$ = 32.9 min (minor); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{28}$ = -42.69 (c = 0.18, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}BrN_2O_4$ $[M + H]^+$ 431.0606, found: 431.0589.

(S)-Methyl 2-((R)-1-(4-Nitrophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 3l. Yellow oil; 68.5 mg, 69% yield; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 2953, 2924, 1735, 1656, 1607, 1553, 1522, 1496, 1449, 1432, 1378, 1348, 1243, 1165, 1111, 1013; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.20 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.55−7.43 (m, 3H), 5.07 (dd, J = 3.8, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (dd, J = 10.5, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (dd, J = 3.8, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 3.14–3.09 (m, 1H), 2.59–2.50 (m, 2H), 2.17–2.07 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.9, 172.2, 147.8, 144.4, 133.1, 131.9, 130.5, 128.8, 128.4, 123.8, 85.2, 77.0, 52.8, 51.6, 35.6, 32.0. $[\alpha]_D^{29} =$ +2.19 ($c = 0.07$, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₂₀H₂₀N₃O₆ $[M + H]$ ⁺ 398.1352, found: 398.1348.

(S)-Methyl 2-((R)-2-Nitro-1-(thiophen-2-yl)-ethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 3m. Yellow solid; 71.7 mg, 80% yield; mp 82−83 °C; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3079, 2952, 1737, 1618, 1576, 1556, 1448, 1380, 1258, 1077, 1028; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.87 (m, 2H), 7.51−7.39 (m, 3H), 7.23 (m, 1H), 7.01 (m, 1H), 6.94 $(dd, J = 3.7, 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.34 (dd, J = 4.1, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dd, J $= 10.2, 13.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.20 (dd, J = 3.8, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.24−3.02 (m, 1H), 2.62−2.52 (m, 1H), 2.14−2.03 (m, 1H), 2.14− 2.03 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.6, 172.2, 138.3, 133.4, 131.6, 128.7, 128.4, 127.9, 126.8, 126.0, 85.8, 78.6, 52.8, 48.3, 36.1, 31.5. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = 98/2, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); $t_R = 23.0$ (minor), $t_R = 25.3$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^{28} = -99.8$ ($c = 0.10$, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{18}H_{18}N_2NaO_4S$ [M + Na]⁺ 381.0885, found: 381.0885.

(S)-Methyl 2-((R)-2-Nitro-1-ferrocenyl-ethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 3n. Yellow solid; 80.6 mg, 70% yield; mp 119−120 °C; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 2952, 2920, 1737, 1607, 1573, 1550, 1437, 1386, 1342, 1246, 1220, 1166; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.74 (dd, J = 1.8, 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.47–7.36 (m, 3H), 5.36 (d, J $= 7.0, 14.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dd, J = 3.8, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (m, 1H), 4.15 (m, 7H including Cp), 4.05 (dd, J = 3.8, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (dd, J = 4.1, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (m, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.05−2.74 (m, 1H), 2.74−2.53 (m, 2H), 1.97−1.87 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.8, 172.4, 133.7, 131.2, 128.6, 128.2, 86.6, 85.0, 77.6, 70.2, 69.0, 68.3, 68.1, 66.5, 52.5, 45.9, 36.0, 29.9. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 26.1 (minor), $t_{\rm R}$ = 27.2 min (major); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{30}$ = -9.5 (c = 0.10, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI- TOF) calcd for $C_{24}H_{24}FeN_2NaO_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 483.0983, found: 483.0977.

General Procedure for the Silver-Catalyzed Asymmetric Conjugate Addition of 1 to 2. All reactions were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere with oven-dried glassware. In a 20 mL Schlenk tube containing a stirring bar, AgOAc (2.1 mg, 0.013 mmol) and L6 $(8.6 \text{ mg}, 0.014 \text{ mmol})$ were dissolved in dry Et₂O (2.5 mL) and stirred at −20 °C for 30 min. Then, 1a (50.8 mg, 0.25 mmol) and 2a (44.7 mg, 0.30 mmol) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 15 h and then filtered through Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was isolated by PTLC (n-hexane/ $EtOAc = 2:1$).

(S)-Methyl 2-((S)-2-Nitro-1-phenylethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4a. White solid; 81.1 mg, 92% yield; mp =127−128 °C; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 3034, 2957, 1733, 1607, 1572, 1556, 1536, 1496, 1447, 1434, 1382, 1246, 1075; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51–7.40 (m, 3H), 7.18 (m, 5H), 5.14 (dd, $J = 11.2$, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (dd, $J = 4.1$, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (dd, J = 4.1, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.86–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.24– 2.17 (m, 1H), 2.13–1.93 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.7, 174.1, 135.2, 133.5, 131.4, 129.8, 128.7, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 84.8, 77.6, 53.0, 50.2, 35.4, 31.5; HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = $95/5$, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); $t_R = 19.0$ (minor), $t_R = 22.5$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -207.5$ ($c = 0.13$, CHCl₃). HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}N_2O_4$ [M + H]⁺ 353.1501, found: 353.1494.

(S)-Methyl 5-(4-Methylphenyl)-2-((S)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4b. Yellow oil; 86.0 mg, 94% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3034, 2958, 2933, 1732, 1606, 1553, 1541, 1497, 1457, 1433, 1380, 1243, 1166, 1049; ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73–7.70 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.25–7.22 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 2H), 7.19−7.16 (m, 5H), 5.13 (dd, J = 10.8, 13.4, 1H), 4.99 (dd, J = 4.1, 13.4 Hz, 1H), $(dd, J = 3.5, 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.38 $(dd, J = 4.1, 10.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.84−2.73 (m, 1H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.22−2.11 (m, 1H), 2.05−1.60 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.5, 174.2, 141.8, 135.3, 130.8, 129.8, 129.3, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 84.7, 77.7, 53.0, 50.2, 35.3, 31.5, 21.6; HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2 propanol = 98/2, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 28.7 (minor), t_R = 40.9 min (major); $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} = -217.1$ ($c = 0.11$, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}NaN_2O_4$ $[M + Na]^+$ 389.1477, found: 389.1496.

(S)-Methyl 5-(4-Methoxylphenyl)-2-((S)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)- 3,4-dihydro-2Hpyrrole-2-carboxylate 4c. Yellow oil; 82.2 mg, 86% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) *v* 2954, 2840, 1729, 1605, 1552, 1515, 1456, 1379, 1253, 1173, 1112, 1029; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78 (d, $J = 9.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.18 (m, 5H), 6.94 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 5.13 (dd, $J =$ 10.8, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (dd, $J = 4.1$, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, $J = 4.1$, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.83−2.71 (m, 1H), 2.22− 2.11 (m, 1H), 2.04–1.89 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.9, 174.4, 162.2, 135.4, 130.0, 129.9, 128.5, 128.1, 126.3, 114.0, 84.7, 77.7, 55.5, 53.0, 50.3, 35.3, 31.6. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 35.2 (minor), $t_{\rm R}$ = 45.5 min (minor); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{24}$ = -235.1 (c = 0.13, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}N_2NaO_5$ [M + Na]⁺ 405.1426, found: 405.1423.

(S)-Methyl 5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-((S)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4d. White solid; 86.9 mg, 90% yield; mp =97–99 °C; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 2954, 2860, 1729, 1605, 1596, 1552, 1491, 1379, 1253, 1173, 1112, 1029; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.76 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.23–7.14 $(m, 5H)$, 5.11 (dd, J = 10.8, 13,5 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (dd, J = 4.1, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, J = 4.1, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.80−2.69 (m, 1H), 2.25−2.15 (m, 1H), 2.08−1.87 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 176.5, 173.9, 137.6, 135.1, 131.8, 129.8, 129.5, 128.9, 128.5, 128.2, 84.8, 77.5, 53.1, 50.2, 35.3, 31.6; HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 column (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); $t_R = 17.1$ (minor), $t_{\rm R}$ = 25.5 min (major); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{23}$ = -244.9 (c = 0.11, CHCl₃). HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}CIN_2O_4$ [M + H]⁺ 387.1112, found: 387.1116.

(S)-Methyl 5-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-((S)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4e. White solid; 105.4 mg, 98%

yield; mp =111−112 °C; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 2951, 2860, 1736, 1618, 1590, 1543, 1487, 1381, 1228, 1067, 1051, 1009; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.23–7.14 $(m, 5H)$, 5.11 (dd, J = 10.8, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (dd,, J = 4.1, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, J = 4.1, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.80−2.70 (m, 1H), 2.25−2.15 (m, 1H), 2.09−1.87 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 176.7, 174.0, 135.1, 132.3, 132.0, 129.8, 129.7, 128.6, 128.2, 126.2, 84.9, 77.5, 53.1, 50.3, 35.3, 31.7; HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 column (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 20.2 (minor), $t_{\rm R}$ = 31.3 min (major); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{24}$ = -235.1 (c = 0.13, CHCl₃). HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}BrN_2NaO_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 453.0426, found: 453.0420.

(S)-Methyl 2-((S)-1-(2-Methylphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4f. Yellow oil; 76.9 mg, 84% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3061, 3027, 2953, 2922, 1721, 1618, 1547, 1493, 1450, 1379, 1259, 1159, 1123, 1081; ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.84 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51–7.40 (m, 3H), 7.15–7.03 (m, 3H), 6.91 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, $J = 10.8$, 13.8 Hz, 1H), 5.04 $(dd, J = 3.8, 13.8 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (dd, J = 3.8, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s,$ 3H), 2.88−2.77 (m, 1H), 2.47 (s, 1H), 2.24−2.11 (m, 2H), 1.81−1.71 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 177.7, 174.4, 138.3, 134.1, 133.5, 131.4, 130.8, 128.7, 128.2, 127.7, 127.6, 126.1, 84.8, 78.2, 53.1, 43.8, 35.5, 31.2, 20.5. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2 propanol = 98/2, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 22.3 (minor), t_R = 24.4 min (major); $[\alpha]_{D}^{\alpha}$ $n^{25} = -244.3$ (c = 0.14, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}N_2NaO_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 389.1477, found: 389.1464.

(S)-Methyl 2-((S)-1-(3-Methylphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4g. Yellow oil; 84.2 mg, 92% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3031, 2952, 2922, 1731, 1617, 1553, 1492, 1449, 1434, 1379, 1238, 1160, 1078, 1057, 1006; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.52–7.40 (m, 3H), 7.09–6.96 (m, 4H), 5.12 (dd, $J = 10.8$, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (dd, $J = 4.1$, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (dd, J = 4.1, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.83−2.73 (m, 1H), 2.22−2.13 (m, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H) 2.09−1.87 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.7, 174.2, 137.9, 134.9, 133.4, 131.4, 130.7, 128.8, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 126.8, 84.7, 77.5, 53.0, 50.2, 35.4, 31.5, 21.2. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = $95/5$, 1.0 mL/ min, 250 nm); $t_R = 17.0$ (minor), $t_R = 19.3$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^{30} =$ -199.1 (c = 0.05, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}N_2NaO_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 389.1477, found: 389.1491.

(S)-Methyl 2-((S)-1-(4-Methylphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4h. Yellow oil; 78.7 mg, 86% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) *v* 3029, 2952, 2922, 1731, 1618, 1552, 1515, 1496, 1449, 1379, 1239, 1167, 1085; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.51−7.40 (m, 3H), 7.07 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 5.11 (dd, J = 10.8, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (dd, J = 4.1, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (dd, J = 4.1, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.86– 2.74 (m, 1H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.22−2.15 (m, 1H), 2.11−2.00 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.6, 174.2, 137.8, 133.5, 132.1, 131.4, 129.6, 129.2, 128.7, 128.2, 84.9, 77.8, 53.0, 49.9, 35.4, 31.5, 21.1. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/ min, 250 nm); $t_R = 18.7$ (minor), $t_R = 22.2$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^2$ ⁵⁶ -223.4 (c = 0.19, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}N_2NaO_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 389.1477, found: 389.1494.

(S)-Methyl 2-((S)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2Hpyrrole-2-carboxylate 4i. White oil; 84.1 mg, 88% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) *v* 2953, 2838, 1729, 1612, 1551, 1514, 1449, 1434, 1379, 1251, 1180, 1120; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.51−7.28 (m, 3H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 5.09 (dd, $J = 11.1$, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (dd, $J = 4.1$, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (dd, J = 4.1, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.86−2.74 (m, 1H), 2.21−2.14 (m, 1H), 2.10−1.97 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.6, 174.3, 159.3, 133.5, 131.4, 130.8, 128.7, 128.2, 126.9, 113.9, 84.9, 77.8, 55.2, 53.0, 49.6 35.4, 31.5. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/ min, 250 nm); $t_R = 27.8$ (minor), $t_R = 36.8$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^2$ = -194.0 (c = 0.12, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}NaN_2O_5$ $[M + Na]^+$ 405.1426, found: 405.1440.

(S)-Methyl 2-((S-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4j. Yellow oil; 86.9 mg, 90%

yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3030, 2953, 1732, 1618, 1552, 1494, 1449, 1434, 1378, 1240, 1163, 1114, 1092, 1056, 1014; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.52–7.41 (m, 3H), 7.19–7.12 (m, 4H), 5.11 (dd, $J = 11.1$, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (dd, $J = 4.1$, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, J = 4.1, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.92−2.80 (m, 1H), 2.26−2.10 (m, 2H), 1.99−1.88 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.9, 173.9, 134.2, 133.9, 133.2, 131.6, 131.1, 128.7, 128.2, 84.6, 77.6, 53.1, 49.6, 35.4, 31.5. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2 propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); $t_R = 14.7$ (minor), $t_R = 19.7$ min (major); $[\alpha]_{D}^{26} = -219.7$ ($c = 0.10$, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}ClKN_2O_4 [M+K]^+$ 425.0670, found: 425.0669.

(S)-Methyl 2-((S)-1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4 dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4k. Yellow oil; 90.4 mg, 84% yield; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3031, 2952, 1731, 1656, 1617, 1552, 1490, 1449, 1434, 1378, 1241, 1168, 1114, 1056, 1011;¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.53–7.41 (m, 3H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 3H), 7.08 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 5.10 (dd, $J = 10.8$, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (dd, J = 4.1, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (dd, J = 4.1, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.92−2.81 (m, 1H), 2.26−2.12 (m, 2H), 1.98−1.88 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) ^δ 177.9, 173.8, 134.5, 133.2, 131.7, 131.6, 131.5, 128.8, 128.2, 122.4, 84.5, 77.6, 53.1, 49.6, 35.4, 31.5. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); $t_R = 14.8$ (minor), $t_R = 20.4$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^{26} = -201.0$ ($c =$ 0.08, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}BrN_2NaO_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 453.0426, found: 453.0452.

(S)-Methyl 2-((S)-1-(4-Nitrophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4l. Yellow oil; 53.8 mg, 54% yield; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 2956, 2925, 1721, 1638, 1612, 1553, 1521, 1497, 1448, 1437, 1378, 1347, 1239, 1170, 1112, 1028; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.56–7.40 (m, 5H), 5.19 (dd, J = 10.8, 13.8 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (dd, J = 4.1, 13.8 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (dd, J = 4.1, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.97−2.86 (m, 1H), 2.32−2.20 (m, 2H), 1.92−1.82 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.2, 173.5, 147.8, 143.4, 133.0, 131.9, 130.9, 128.9, 128.2, 123.6, 84.3, 77.4, 53.3, 49.8, 35.5, 31.7. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 37.8 (minor), $t_R = 57.3$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^{24} = -212.4$ ($c = 0.11$, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}N_3O_6$ [M + H]⁺ 398.1352, found: 398.1364.

(S)-Methyl 2-((S)-2-Nitro-1-(thiophen-2-yl)-ethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4m. White solid; 85.4 mg, 95% yield; mp 94–96 °C; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 3082, 2956, 1734, 1607, 1572, 1557, 1446, 1384, 1257, 1075, 1011; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.53−7.42 (m, 3H), 7.15 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.86 (dd, $J = 3.5$, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (m, 1H), 4.77 (dd, J = 5.0, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.03−2.92 (m, 1H), 2.49−2.38 (m, 1H), 2.31−2.21 (m, 1H), 2.14−2.04 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 178.6, 173.7, 137.5, 133.5, 131.6, 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 126.6, 126.6, 84.8, 79.2, 53.1, 46.2, 35.9, 31.5. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 $(hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); t_R = 17.6 (minor),$ $t_{\rm R}$ = 20.2 min (major); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$ = -242.1 (c = 0.10, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{18}H_{18}N_2NaO_4S$ [M + Na]⁺ 381.0885, found: 381.0904.

(S)-Methyl 2-((S)-2-Nitro-1-ferrocenyl-ethyl)-5-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrrole2-carboxylate 4n. Yellow solid; 94.5 mg, 82% yield; mp 147−151 °C; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 2950, 2915, 1725, 1601, 1571, 1560, 1450, 1380, 1344, 1253, 1204, 1104; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.75 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.47–7.36 (m, 3H), 5.06 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 4.35 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (m, 1H), 4.15 (m, 6H, including Cp), 4.05 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.70 (m, 1H), 2.89−2.79 (m, 1H), 2.31−2.10 (m, 2H), 2.04−1.94 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.8, 173.7, 133.6, 131.3, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 86.0, 85.9, 77.8, 70.3, 69.1, 68.6, 67.7, 67.1, 52.7, 44.4, 35.8, 29.9. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak ID-3 (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 250 nm); $t_R = 30.3$ (minor), $t_R = 53.4$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +64.382$ ($c =$ 0.13, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{24}H_{24}FeN_2NaO_4$ [M + Na]⁺ 483.0983, found: 483.0982.

Reduction of 4a. To a screw capped vial, 4a (70.4 mg, 0.20 mmol), sodium cyanoborohydride (150.8 mg, 2.4 mmol), and acetic acid (137 mL, 2.4 mmol) were dissolved in dry toluene (2.0 mL) and stirred for 15 h at room temperature. Water was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (10 mL). The organic layers were washed with water and brine. The organic extracts were dried over $Na₂SO₄$, concentrated, and purified by PTLC (silicagel, chloroform).

(2S,5S)-Methyl 2-((R)-2-Nitro-1-phenylethyl)-5-phenylpyrrolidine-2-carboxylate 5a. White solid; 59 mg, yield 83%; mp 99-101 °C; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 3340, 3064, 3026, 2952, 1737, 1602, 1552, 1493, 1455, 1377, 1251, 1122, 1028; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44–7.23 $(m, 10H)$, 5.19 (dd, J = 10.6, 13.1 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (dd, J = 3.7, 13.1 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (dd, J = 3.7, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (dd, J = 3.9, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (s, 3H), 2.85 (br, 1H), 2.41−2.33 (m, 1H), 2.29−2.22 (m, 2H), 2.16−2.10 (m, 1H), 1.69−1.58 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.6, 143.4, 136.8, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 127.5, 126.5, 77.2, 71.3, 62.2, 52.3, 51.0, 35.1, 34.6; $[\alpha]_D^{26} = -201.0$ $(c = 0.08, \text{CHCl}_3)$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}N_2O_4 [M + H]^+$ 355.1658, found: 355.1670.

(2S,5S)-Methyl 2-((S)-2-Nitro-1-phenylethyl)-5-phenylpyrrolidine-2-carboxylate 6a. Yellow oil; 59 mg, 83% yield; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 3341, 3063, 3032, 2952, 1726, 1603, 1551, 1494, 1452, 1379, 1245, 1118, 1031; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39−7.21 (m, 10H), 4.91 $(dd, J = 10.6, 13.0 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (dd, J = 5.4, 13.0 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (dd, J)$ $= 6.7, 9.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.01 (dd, J = 4.8, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 2.77 (br, 1H), 2.24−2.14 (m, 1H), 2.07−1.87 (m, 2H), 1.26−1.13 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.6, 143.7, 135.7, 129.6, 128.4, 128.3, 127.2, 126.7, 77.2, 71.0, 61.5, 52.9, 51.0, 33.7, 32.8; $[\alpha]_D^{26} =$ +8.70 ($c = 0.15$, CHCl₃); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₂₀H₂₂KN₂O₄ $[M+K]^+$ 393.1217, found: 393.1203.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

, cif for . The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/ acs.joc.5b02024.

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (PDF) and HPLC [analytical](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02024) [data for](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02024) 3a−3n, 4a−4n, 5a, and 6a and computationally optimized coordinates (PDF) Crystallographic data for 3n (CIF) Crystallographic data for [4n](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02024/suppl_file/jo5b02024_si_001.pdf) (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: orgsynth@kc.chuo-u.ac.jp.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:orgsynth@kc.chuo-u.ac.jp) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was financially supported by a Grant-in-Aid, no. 25410053, for scientific research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

■ REFERENCES

(1) For reviews for the reaction with azomethine ylide (glycine imino ester): (a) Adrio, J.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12434− 12446. (b) Zhang, W. Chem. Lett. 2013, 42, 676−681. (c) Shirakawa, S.; Maruoka, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4312−4348. (d) Adrio, A. J.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6784− 6794. (e) Yu, J.; Shi, F.; Gong, L.-Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 1156− 1171.

(2) For selected examples for the silver or copper-catalyzed reactions in recent years: (a) Li, J.-Y.; Kim, H. Y.; Oh, K. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1288−1291. (b) Bai, X.-F.; Song, T.; Xu, Z.; Xia, C.-G.; Huang, W.-S.; Xu, L.-W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5255−5259. (c) Teng, H.- L.; Yao, L.; Wang, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4075−4080. (d) Potowski, M.; Antonchick, A. P.; Waldmann, H. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 7800−7802. (e) Potowski, M.; Bauer, J. O.; Strohmann, C.;

Antonchick, A. P.; Waldmann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9512−9516. (f) Liu, T.-L.; He, Z.-L.; Tao, H.-Y.; Wang, C.-J. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 8042−8046. (g) Wang, M.; Wang, C.-J.; Lin, Z. Organometallics 2012, 31, 7870−7876 and references are cited therein.. (3) (a) Ayan, S.; Dogan, Ö.; Ivantcova, P. M.; Datsuk, N. G.; Shulga,

D. A.; Chupakhinb, V. I.; Zabolotnev, D. V.; Kudryavtsev, K. V. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2013, 24, 838–843. (b) Nájera, C.; Sansano, J. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 4567−4581. (c) Najera, C.; de ́ Gracia Retamosa, M.; Sansano, J. M.; de Cózar, A.; Cossío, F. P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 2007, 5038−5049.

(4) (a) Watanabe, S.; Tada, A.; Tokoro, Y.; Fukuzawa, S.-i. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 1306−1309. (b) Konno, T.; Watanabe, S.; Takahashi, T.; Tokoro, Y.; Fukuzawa, S.-i. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4418−4421. (c) Imae, K.; Konno, T.; Ogata, K.; Fukuzawa, S.-i. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4410−4413. (d) Imae, K.; Shimizu, K.; Ogata, K.; Fukuzawa, S.-i. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 3604−3608. (e) Oura, I.; Shimizu, K.; Ogata, K.; Fukuzawa, S.-i. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1752−1755. (f) Shimizu, K.; Ogata, K.; Fukuzawa, S.-i. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 5068−5070.

(5) Lee, M.; Lee, Y.-J.; Park, E.; Park, Y.; Ha, M. W.; Hong, S.; Lee, Y.-J.; Kim, T.-S.; Kima, M.-H.; Park, H.-G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 2039−2046.

(6) Narayan, R.; Bauer, J. O.; Strihmann, C.; Antonchick, A. P.; Waldmann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12892−12996.

(7) Tada, A.; Watanabe, S.; Kimura, M.; Tokoro, Y.; Fukuzawa, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 6224−6226.

(8) Xue, Z.-Y.; Xiong, Y.; Wang, C.-J. Synlett 2014, 25, 2733−2737. (9) Xue, Z.-Y.; Song, Z.-M.; Wang, C.-J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 5460−5466.

(10) Diastereoselectivity switch depending on chiral metal compolexes in the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine ylide with nitroalkenes has been reported. For exo selective reactions: (a) Dai, L.; Xu, D.; Dong, X.; Zhou, Z. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2015, 26, 350–360. (b) Castelló, L. M.; Nájera, C.; Sansano, J. M.; Larrañaga, O.; de Cózar, A.; Cossío, F. P. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, 15, 2902− 2905. (c) Gonzalez-Esguevillas, M.; Adrio, J.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 2149−2151. (d) Kim, H. Y.; Li, J.-Y.; Kim, S.; Oh, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20750−20753. For endo selective reaction: (e) Arai, T.; Mishiro, A.; Yokoyama, N.; Suzuki, K.; Sato, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5338−5339. For endo/exo switching reactions: (f) Castelló, L. M.; Nájera, C.; Sansano, J. M.; Larrañaga, O.; de Cózar, A.; Cossío, F. P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 3861-3870. (g) Yan, X.-X.; Peng, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, K.; Hong, W.; Hou, X.-L.; Wu, Y.-D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1979−1983 and references are cited therein..

(11) For examples of synthetic and biological study on kaiocephalin: (a) Garner, P.; Weerasinghe, L.; Houten, I. V.; Hu, J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 4908−4910. (b) Chandan, N.; Moloney, M. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 1987−1990. (c) Takahashi, K.; Yamaguchi, D.; Ishihara, J.; Hatakeyama, S. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1644−1647. (d) Limon, A.; Reyes-Ruiz, J. M.; Vaswani, R. G.; Chamberlin, A. R.; Miledi, R. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2010, 1, 175−181. (e) Vaswani, R. G.; Limon, A.; Reyes-Ruiz, J. M.; Miledi, R.; Chamberlin, A. R. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 132−135. (f) Shin-ya, K. Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem. 2005, 69, 867−872.

(12) (a) Li, Q.; Ding, C.-H.; Hou, X.-L.; Dai, L.-X. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1080−1083. (b) Gao, W.; Zhang, X.; Raghunath, M. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4241−4244. (c) Zeng, W.; Zhou, Y.-G. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5055−5058.

(13) (a) Hernández-Toribio, J.; Arrayás, R. G.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6334−6337. (b) Robles-Machín, R.; Alonso, I.; Adrio, J.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 5286−5291. (c) López-Pérez, A.; Adrio, J.; Carretero, J. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 340–343. (d) Cabrera, S.; Arrayás, R. G.; Martín-Matute, B.; Cossío, F. P.; Carretero, J. C. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 6587−6602. (e) Llamas, T.; Gómez, R.; Arrayás, J. C. Carretero. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1795−1798. (f) Cabrera, S.; Arrayás, R. G.; Carretero, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16394−16395.

(14) Reduction of the nitro group afforded the corresponding spirolactam. This study is now in progress and will be reported in due course.

(15) (a) Neese, F. ORCA program system (WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 73−78. (b) Grimme, S. J. Comput. Chem. 2006, 27, 1787− 1799. (c) Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 1456−1465. (d) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104. (e) Schaefer, A.; Horn, H.; Ahlrich, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 97, 2571−2577. (f) Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297−3305.